Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/665,525	WERBITT, JULLE M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	IG T. AN	3687
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>IG T. AN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Gary Harkcom.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 December 2010	Time: 3:00PM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Non-Final Action		
Claims discussed: 1, 39, 50, 59, 69, 86, 110, 118, 120, 122, 124, 151 and 153		
Prior art documents discussed: See Continuation Sheet		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner and the Appolicant discussed what element could be distinct the application from all the prior arts. The Applicant and the Examiner agreed that proposed amendment make by the Examiner will make this application allowable. The Applicant agreed to make suggested amendment.		
Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/lg T An/		
Examiner, Art Unit 3687	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: Terase (US 7257547), Mayer et al. (US 20020026364), Cogen (US 20020138350), Baratz (US 20040054585), Toth (US 20030078793), Camaisa et al. (US 5845263), Ragsdale-Elli